

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/789,631 02/27/2004		Chester S. McVay	HES 2003-IP-012179U1	1196		
29920	7590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER			
JOHN W. W P.O. BOX 14	USTENBERG	DANG, HOANG C				
DUNCAN, (			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
•			3672			

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/789,631	MCVAY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hoang Dang	3672

	Hoang Dang		3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filin ving replies: (1) an an tice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of a nendment, affi ppeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspo shortened statutory perion than three months after	onding amount od for reply original	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS		effling a briaf	will not be entered by					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>				ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		aron (see 110	12 50.017,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by	-		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number	er of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				(270) 000				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).								
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)</li> <li>how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>			il ne entered and an e	explanation of-				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: 5-16 and 19-34.	wided below or appen	iaea						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,17 and 18.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the da d sufficient reasons w	te of filing a N vhy the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejection	is under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	it does NOT place the	e application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:	$\sim$	. ^						
		1-P	· Hoang Dang					
		1	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3672					
		"						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to applicant's argument, the Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary (http://www.m-w.com/dictionary/valve) defines a "valve" as "3 a : any of numerous mechanical devices by which the flow of liquid, gas, or loose material in bulk may be started, stopped, or regulated by a movable part that opens, shuts, or partially obstructs one or more ports or passageways; also : the movable part of such a device ". Similarly, The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language: Fourth Edition. 2000 (http://www.bartleby.com/61/68/V0016800.html) defines a "valve" as "2a. Any of various devices that regulate the flow of gases, liquids, or loose materials through piping or through apertures by opening, closing, or obstructing ports or passageways." Based on both of these dictionaries, a rupture disc as that of the applied references is clearly a valve.